NEPA/CEQA RE-VALIDATION FORM (rev. 03/2024)

DIST-CO-RTE: 11-SD-11
PM/PM: PM 2.7
EA or Fed-Aid Project No.: 11-05641 (split from 11-05631)
Other Project No. (specify): 1124000360
Project Title: SR-11 Port of Entry and CVEF
Environmental Approval Type: SR-11 EIR/EIS
Date Approved: 3/29/2012
Reason for Consultation (23 CFR 771.129): ☒ Project proceeding to next major federal approval ☐ Change in scope, setting, effects, mitigation measures, requirements ☐ 3-year timeline (EIS only) ☐ **N/A** (Re-Validation for CEQA only)
Description of Changed Conditions: Child Project under EA 11-05641. Project is for funding and will be split into two future work packages. All work was cleared under parent FED and no new impacts anticipated.

NEPA CONCLUSION - VALIDITY

Based on an examination of the changed conditions and supporting information: (*Check ONE of the three statements below, regarding the validity of the original document/determination (23 CFR 771.129). If document is no longer valid, indicate whether additional public review is warranted and whether the type of environmental document will be elevated.*

☒ **The original environmental document or CE remains valid. No further documentation will be prepared.**

☐ **The original environmental document or CE is in need of updating; further documentation has been prepared and ☐ is included on the continuation sheet(s) or ☐ is attached. With this additional documentation, the original ED or CE remains valid.**
Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3)) ☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ **The original environmental document or CE is no longer valid.**
Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3)) ☐ Yes ☐ No
Supplemental environmental document is needed. ☐ Yes ☐ No
New environmental document is needed. ☐ Yes ☐ No (If "Yes," specify type:)

CONCURRENCE WITH NEPA CONCLUSION

I concur with the NEPA conclusion above.

Elissa K. Konove
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration, California Division

Elissa K. Konove
Digitally signed by Elissa K. Konove
Date: 2025.04.22 15:02:37 -07'00'

4/22/25
Date

NEPA/CEQA RE-VALIDATION FORM

CEQA CONCLUSION (Only mandated for projects on the State Highway System.)

Based on an examination of the changed conditions and supporting information, the following conclusion has been reached regarding appropriate CEQA documentation: (*Check ONE of the five statements below, indicating whether any additional documentation will be prepared, and if so, what kind. If additional documentation is prepared, attach a copy of this signed form and any continuation sheets.*)

☒ **Original document remains valid. No further documentation is necessary.**

☐ **Only minor technical changes or additions to the previous document are necessary.**
☐ **An addendum has been or will be prepared and is ☐ included on the continuation sheets or ☐ will be attached. It need not be circulated for public review (CEQA Guidelines, §15164). The addendum must include a brief explanation of why the decision was made to not prepare a subsequent or supplemental environmental document as well as a summary statement explaining the changes to the project.**

☐ **Changes are substantial, but only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous document adequate. A Supplemental environmental document will be prepared, and it will be circulated for public review (CEQA Guidelines, §15163).**

☐ **Changes are substantial, and major revisions to the current document are necessary. A Subsequent environmental document will be prepared, and it will be circulated for public review (CEQA Guidelines, §15162).**
(Specify type of subsequent document, e.g., Subsequent FEIR):

☐ **The CE is no longer valid. New CE is needed. ☐ Yes ☐ No**

CONCURRENCE WITH CEQA CONCLUSION

I concur with the CEQA conclusion above.

Matthew Voss
Signature: Environmental Branch Chief

4/3/2025
Date

Signature: Project Manager/DLAE

4/3/2025
Date